

### REMARKS

Claims 1, 3-5, 7-9 and 11-12 were examined. Claims 1, 3-4, 9 and 11-12 are canceled. Claims 5, 7 and 8 remain in the Application.

The Patent Office rejects claims 5, 7 and 8 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,372,385. Applicants submit herewith a Terminal Disclaimer, disclaiming the terminal part of any patent granted on the pending application to the term of U.S. Patent No. 6,372,385. Applicants respectfully request the Patent Office withdraw the rejection to claims 5, 7 and 8 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting.

### CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that all claims now pending patentably define the subject invention over the prior art of record and are in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited at the earliest possible date.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR, & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: 1/26/05

By: William T. Babbitt  
William Thomas Babbitt; Reg. No. 39,591

12400 Wilshire Boulevard  
Seventh Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90025  
(310) 207-3800

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Nedy Calderon  
Nedy Calderon

1/26/05  
Date